

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,700	12/02/2003	Shuntaro Aratani	03500.017761.	1993
5514 7590 97799/2010 FTTZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 1290 Avenue of the Americas			EXAMINER	
			RILEY, MARCUS T	
NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/724,700
 ARATANI ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 MARCUS T. RILEY
 2625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
(1) MARCUS T. RILEY.	(3)Christian Maninno (Reg. 58,373).		
(2) <u>Dave Moore (SPE)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 July 2010.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 9.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Narushima (US 6,77-	<u>4.951)</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\hfill \square$ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.			
GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE	he last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO		
/MARCUS T RILEY/ Examiner. Art Unit 2625			

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record
A complete written statement as to the substance of any factor-bace, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application where or not an apprenent with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135, (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged only promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to with there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant of the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal Interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate. the Form should be mailed ormountly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summay Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the
- Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully
 - describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No. 10/724,700

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented his position on the claimed invention and proposed specific changes to Independent claim 9 (See Attachment). Applicant Specifically stated that the cited reference did not disclose a data obtaining unit for obtaining data broadcasting data including displayable content data and text data described in print permission/inhibition information of the content data and a script program executed based on a broadcasting event command included in the digital broadcasting wave transmitted from the broadcasting station.

Examiner understood the Applicant's position but respectfully disagreed. For example, the general concept of Narushima is discolsed in the "Field of Invention" wherein Narashima specifically teaches a digital broadcast reception system including a receiver for receiving digital broadcast, a display device for displaying digital broadcast received by a receiver and a printer for printing the content information contained in the digital broadcast received by the receiver. The broadcasting event command, included in the digital broadcasting wave, is transmitted from the broadcasting station as shown in Fig. 8 (i.e. Ground Wave Broadcast or the BS/CS Satellite Broadcast).

Moreover, Narushima discloses at Fig. 8, a Data Decoder 58 as the data obtaining unit. Column 10, lines 14-19 shows where the data obtaining unit, data decoder 58, receives and outputs the service information, among the decoded signals, that can directly be expanded into displayable data, as a control signal over a system bus to the CPU system 65. Column 12, line 66 thru column 13, line 23 and Fig. 8 of Narushima also discloses a Contents Information Conversion Unit 68. The contents information conversion unit 68 reads out the contents information to decide whether to print the data.

Column 20, lines 64-67 shows a typical case in which the script information distributed by the digital broadcast is converted by the STB into a script suited to printing. In addition, the contents information stated as shown in Fig. 17 is converted by the contents information conversion unit 68 provided in the STB 30 into the HTML form shown in Fig. 19. Fig. 19 shows a script program that is executed based on a broadcasting event command included in the digital broadcasting wave transmitted from the broadcasting station (i.e. Fig. 8, Ground Wave Broadcast or the BS/CS Satellite Broadcast). Therefore, the broadcast signal may cause the printing as opposed to the user of the remote control.

Additionally, Examiner informed applicant that the application would require further consideration and/or search and that the Examiner will formally consider the Applicant's position and the proposed amendment when filed.